

## **TGIF:**The NTU Linguist Chatroom



## Join us! Friday, 8 September 2017, 3.30pm @ HSS Conference Room (HSS-05-57)

## Optional case marking in Sinitic and beyond: Mainland Southeast Asia and China

Optional case marking refers to the situation where a case marker can be present or absent in a particular context without affecting its role interpretation (see Kittilä 2005, McGregor 2010, 2013). An example from an Australian language, Umpithamu, illustrates this principle for optional ergative marking in its contrastive treatment of the agent noun, *waypala* 'whitefella' in (1) and (2):

Umpithamu (Pama-Nyungan, Cape York, J.-C. Verstraete)

- (1) wayapala-**mpal** maarra-n=antyangku motoka-nti whitefella-**ERG** take-PST=1PLEXC.ACC car-COMIT 'The whitefella took us in the car.'
- (2) waypala-**Ø** maarra-n=antyangku whitefella take-PST=1PLEXC.ACC 'The whitefella took us.'

Languages of Southeast Asia and China present a rich and diverse set of constructions involving optional case marking for either agents or objects. The aim of this talk is thus to provide an areal survey of the typology of this kind of case marking, in the context of a range of phenomena typically associated with it, and which appear to trigger it, such as animacy- and tense-based splits, 'unexpected agents' and its use with non-canonical word order. Much recent work in this area has been driven by text-based approaches to language description, as well as quantitative and areal approaches to typology, with the domain remaining, nonetheless, somewhat diffuse both terminologically and conceptually. In fact, these related phenomena can be treated as another reflection of asymmetry in grammar, as argued in Chappell & Verstraete, (2016).

I show that the Southeast Asian languages families of Tai-Kadai, Hmong and Austroasiatic in general pattern similarly for optional direct object marking (DoMs), typically making use of *take* verbs as the source of their markers. This resembles the situation in Sinitic for the two areas of Northern and Far Southern China, while in the Central and Southeastern linguistic areas, an array of sources is to be found including *give* and *help* verbs, and oblique markers (Chappell 2015). Tibeto-Burman stands out with the widespread use of both optional agent and optional object marking, the former being also known as optional ergative marking, as in (1) above.

Chappell, Hilary & Jean-Christophe Verstraete. 2016. Optional and differential case marking: Typology and diachrony. Workshop on « Asymmetrical grammar: Optional and differential case marking» held at the European Summer School in Linguistic Typology, CNRS, Porquerolles, Hyères, 4-17 September 2016. To appear in *Linguistic Compass*.

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_. 2015. Linguistic areas in China for differential object marking, passive and comparative constructions. In H. Chappell (ed.) *Diversity in Sinitic languages*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.13-52.

Kittilä, S. 2005. Optional marking of arguments. Language Sciences 27. 483-514.

McGregor, W. 2010. Optional ergative case marking systems in a typological-semiotic perspective. Lingua 120: 1610-1636.

McGregor, W. 2013. Optionality in grammar and language use. Linguistics 51: 1147-1204.

## **Speaker**



Hilary CHAPPELL holds a Research Chair as Professor in Linguistic Typology of East Asian Languages at the *Ecole des Hautes Etudes en Sciences Sociales* in Paris, an appointment she took up in 2005 after teaching in the Linguistics Department at La Trobe University in Melbourne for 18 years. Originally awarded her doctoral degree in 1984 by the Australian National University in Canberra , she has over 70 publications on Chinese linguistics and typology, and five books, including *A grammar and lexicon of Hakka* (with Christine Lamarre), *The grammar of inalienability* (with William McGregor, Mouton de Gruyter, 1996), *Sinitic grammar: Synchronic and diachronic perspectives* (OUP, 2001) and most recently, *Diversity in Sinitic languages* (OUP, 2015). Her main research interests are concerned with reformulating the typological profile of Sinitic languages on the basis of in-depth explorations of their diversity and the study of the diachronic grammar of the Southern Min or Hokkien language, using a corpus of late 16th and early 17th century materials.